

### REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed May 7, 2008, in which claims 1-9 and 11-16 were reviewed, the following issues were raised:

1. Claims 1-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,978,841 to Berger;
2. Claims 9 and 16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Berger in view of the knowledge of one skilled in the art; and
3. Claims 11-15 were allowed.

In the interest of moving the present application toward allowance, Applicant cancelled claims 1-9 and 16 without prejudice. Applicant reserves the right to file such claims in a continuation application. Claims 11-15 remain active in the application.

In view of the amendments to the claims, Applicant submits that the application is in condition for allowance and such is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP



DATE: June 2, 2008

By: \_\_\_\_\_

David M. Morse  
Reg. No. 50,505

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP  
The Nemours Building  
1007 North Orange Street  
P.O. Box 2207  
Wilmington, Delaware 19899  
(302) 658-9141  
(302) 658-5614 (Fax)